

Comment Set C.220: Allen and Laurie Keillor

From: Allen Keillor [mailto:allenk@archwestdev.com]
Sent: Mon 10/2/2006 4:30 PM
To: Antelope-Pardee Project
Subject: Antelope-Pardee Project response

John Boccio, CPUC, EIR Project Manager
Marian Kadota, USFS, EIS Project Manager
Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Ste 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Sir / Madam:

Approximately one month ago it came to our attention that So. Cal Edison and the CPUC intend to build a 500 KV transmission system from Tehachapi to Santa Clarita and that one of the proposed routes (Alternate 5) bisects Agua Dulce. In addition to the many technical reasons this is completely objectionable (see letter in attached .pdf file) on a personal level this has a direct, devastating impact on our lives.

C.220-1

We are building a home on a property directly in the path of the "Alternate 5" transmission tower/line route, which means we would lose the property for which we have invested all of our resources (including huge amounts of time, effort and our life savings, for which we have labored the past 25 years), leaving us with no resources for the future. In short, we will have lost everything.

C.220-2

We appeal to you to carefully and heartfully consider our predicament, shared by at least 20 others who stand to lose their homes, as well as the more technical reasons outlined in the attached letter, and to support our fight for a fair, reasonable and sane decision on the routing of the proposed Antelope-Pardee project.

C.220-3

Respectfully yours,

Allen and Laurie Keillor
16654 Soledad Canyon Rd. #366
Canyon Country, CA 91387

allenk@archwestdev.com

Response to Comment Set C.220: Allen and Laurie Keillor

C.220-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion regarding Alternative 5.

The letter attached to this e-mail was not able to be opened. Two replies were sent on 10/3/06 and 10/16/06 to the commenters to request that another letter be sent and there was no response.

C.220-2 Please see General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.

C.220-3 Please see Response to Comment C.13-1 regarding removal of homes as a result of Alternative 5.